

# **An Analysis and Evaluation of the Factors Influencing Recidivism and Strategies for Preventing Reoffending in Iran**

*Majid Mirzaei Chendazab<sup>1</sup>, Mobin Rezaei<sup>2</sup>*

1 Department of Law, Faculty of Law, Islamic Azad University, Shahre-Qods Branch, Shahre-Qods, Iran  
(Corresponding Author)

2 Department of Law, Faculty of Law, Islamic Azad University, Shahre-Qods Branch, Shahre-Qods, Iran

## **Abstract.**

Recidivism, as addressed in Iranian criminal law, can be examined through three core dimensions. The first-dimension concerns defining the phenomenon of reoffending and the complexity it poses to the criminal justice system. The second focuses on the underlying causes that lead individuals to commit crimes repeatedly. The third involves exploring practical strategies to prevent and reduce recidivism, which has become a major social challenge in contemporary Iranian society. The purpose of the present review study is to identify and analyze the factors contributing to repeated criminal behavior, particularly among prisoners who reoffend after completing their prison terms. Many released inmates face significant obstacles when attempting to rebuild a lawful and stable life: lack of savings or financial resources, absence of vocational skills, difficulty securing employment due to criminal records, social stigma, distrust from the community, and even the inability to provide guarantors for job opportunities. These barriers increase the likelihood that individuals will return to criminal behavior, ultimately resulting in a cycle of repeated incarceration. An offender who is released after serving a sentence must receive appropriate supervision, guidance, and support from governmental and civil institutions in order to reintegrate and avoid slipping back into crime. This article examines and evaluates recidivism in Iran by analyzing the contributing factors and its consequences. Through statistical data, case studies, and criminological perspectives, the study seeks to identify patterns of reoffending within social and behavioral contexts. Furthermore, it proposes preventive and corrective strategies aimed at reducing recidivism within the Iranian judicial system and ultimately offers recommendations for improving criminal policies and enhancing public security.

**Keywords :** recidivism, sentence aggravation, offender, crime, prisoners, criminology.

## **Extended Abstract**

Recidivism, or the repeated commission of criminal acts following prior conviction and punishment, remains one of the most persistent and challenging issues confronting the criminal justice system in Iran. As societies modernize and criminal patterns evolve, the phenomenon of reoffending has acquired new layers of complexity, demanding a broader analytical lens that goes beyond traditional punitive approaches. In the contemporary Iranian context, recidivism is not merely a legal classification but a multidimensional social problem whose roots lie in individual, structural, economic, cultural, and systemic deficiencies. The present study seeks to examine the various dimensions influencing repeated offending and to offer preventive strategies that may help break the cycle of crime, particularly among previously incarcerated individuals who struggle to reintegrate into society after completing their prison terms. This extended abstract provides a comprehensive conceptual, empirical, and analytical framing of recidivism in Iran, grounding its

discussion in criminological theories, comparative insights, and observed practical shortcomings within existing institutional frameworks.

To understand recidivism, it is first necessary to acknowledge that criminal behavior does not occur in a vacuum. Individuals who reoffend often do so not solely because of personal tendencies but because their environment, opportunities, and structural disadvantages force them into a corner. Many offenders who serve their custodial sentences emerge from prison with aspirations for rehabilitation, only to confront formidable barriers upon release. These barriers include economic marginalization, limited employment opportunities, social stigma, family instability, and exclusion from community life. Such individuals may have lost their pre-incarceration employment, savings, or housing, and they frequently lack the vocational skills needed to compete in the labor market. In addition, the existence of a criminal record often disqualifies them from formal employment, regardless of their commitment to reform. The combination of financial hardship, social rejection, and psychological distress pushes many former inmates back toward illegal activities as a means of survival. Addressing recidivism, therefore, requires an in-depth understanding of the life circumstances and structural impediments that shape post-release trajectories.

From the perspective of Iranian criminal law, recidivism carries significant legal consequences. Under the Islamic Penal Code, repeated offending may result in aggravated punishment, particularly in cases involving *ta'zir* crimes. While this legal framework is designed to deter individuals from reoffending, its effectiveness is questionable when the underlying social and economic conditions motivating recidivism remain unaddressed. Punishment alone, without accompanying rehabilitation measures, often fails to achieve long-term behavioral change. Indeed, empirical studies suggest that incarceration, in the absence of reintegration programs, may inadvertently increase the likelihood of reoffending by isolating offenders from constructive social networks and exposing them to hardened criminal influences within the prison environment. Thus, a comprehensive strategy for reducing recidivism must look beyond punitive policies and adopt a rehabilitative and preventive model grounded in criminological evidence.

A critical factor contributing to recidivism in Iran is the inadequacy of post-release support systems. Upon release, many former inmates are effectively abandoned by governmental institutions, social organizations, and even their own families. Without structured supervision, counseling, or employment support, they remain vulnerable to the same triggers and circumstances that contributed to their initial criminal behavior. While some institutions exist for prisoner rehabilitation, their reach and effectiveness are limited, often lacking resources, coordination, and long-term planning. Moreover, there is no comprehensive nationwide framework for tracking former inmates, assessing their needs, or monitoring their progress. This vacuum leaves individuals with few alternatives other than returning to familiar, albeit illegal, activities. The lack of a coordinated reintegration system is thus a major reason why recidivism remains high in various regions of Iran.

Another dimension of recidivism pertains to psychological and social factors. The labeling theory in criminology highlights how societal reactions to offenders can entrench criminal identity. When former prisoners encounter mistrust, stigma, and discrimination, they internalize this negative identity, which in turn perpetuates criminal conduct. In the Iranian cultural context, where social

reputation holds significant weight, the burden of stigma is particularly destructive. Community members may view former offenders with suspicion, landlords may refuse to rent housing to them, and employers may decline to hire them because of their criminal background. This pervasive social exclusion not only undermines reintegration but also heightens feelings of hopelessness and alienation. Without social acceptance and the ability to rebuild a dignified life, ex-offenders may conclude that crime is the only viable path available to them.

Economic challenges also play a central role in repeated offending. Many inmates, especially those from disadvantaged backgrounds, lack marketable skills or education, leaving them ill-equipped to secure employment upon release. Iran's competitive job market, coupled with limited opportunities for unskilled labor, further disadvantages this population. Even when job opportunities exist, employers often demand a guarantor or background verification—requirements that former prisoners are unable to meet due to their fractured social networks. Economic pressures, then, become a direct pathway to reoffending. Theft, drug trafficking, fraud, and street crime often present themselves as immediate, albeit unlawful, means to alleviate financial hardship. Without mechanisms to facilitate economic empowerment, including training, job placement, microfinance, and startup support, reducing recidivism remains highly challenging.

Furthermore, the prison environment itself may contribute to recidivism. Prisons in Iran, like in many parts of the world, are often overcrowded and lack sufficient rehabilitation, educational, and psychological services. In such conditions, inmates may be exposed to hardened criminals, learn new methods of offending, or form criminal networks that continue after release. Studies in criminology repeatedly show that prisons lacking rehabilitative programs tend to function as “schools of crime,” reinforcing criminal identity rather than correcting behavior. Thus, improving prison conditions and implementing systematic rehabilitative treatments—including cognitive-behavioral therapy, vocational training, drug treatment programs, and family counseling—are essential components of any strategy aimed at reducing repeated offending.

In addition to structural, economic, and social factors, legal ambiguities and inconsistent enforcement may also contribute to recidivism. Some laws disproportionately criminalize behaviors arising from poverty, addiction, or mental health issues. When punishment replaces treatment or social support, the likelihood of reoffending increases. Without a legal framework that distinguishes between offenders who require rehabilitation and those who pose a genuine threat to public safety, recidivism remains an inevitable outcome. The justice system must therefore adopt a more nuanced approach, incorporating alternatives to incarceration where appropriate, such as probation, community service, restorative justice practices, and supervised release programs.

A comprehensive approach to reducing recidivism in Iran must integrate multiple levels of intervention. First, prisons must be transformed from punitive institutions into rehabilitative environments. This includes developing individualized treatment plans for inmates, assessing their educational and psychological needs, and preparing them for reintegration through skill training and behavioral therapy. Second, post-release support must be institutionalized. Governments, NGOs, and community organizations should collaborate to create reintegration centers offering housing assistance, job placement, psychosocial support, and family reconciliation services. Third, legal reforms should ensure that criminal records do not permanently bar individuals from

employment or social participation, particularly in cases of minor offenses or rehabilitated individuals. Fourth, public awareness campaigns should aim to reduce stigma and educate society on the importance of reintegration, emphasizing that reducing recidivism directly contributes to public safety. Finally, policymakers must invest in preventive measures addressing root causes of crime, such as poverty, addiction, lack of education ,and social inequality.

The present study argues that recidivism cannot be reduced solely through harsher penalties or increased policing. Instead, sustainable reductions in reoffending require a holistic strategy that addresses the interplay between individual behavior, institutional shortcomings, and broader socioeconomic structures. By analyzing statistical data, case studies, and criminological theories, the study identifies recurring patterns among repeat offenders in Iran, such as early exposure to crime, unstable family environments, substance abuse, unemployment, and lack of social support. Understanding these patterns allows policymakers to design targeted interventions that disrupt the cycle of crime before it begins.

In conclusion, recidivism in Iran is a multifaceted phenomenon rooted in individual vulnerabilities, systemic shortcomings, and societal barriers. Effective prevention demands more than punitive responses; it requires coordinated rehabilitation, community support, economic empowerment , and legal reform. By addressing the structural determinants of crime and strengthening reintegration mechanisms, Iran can move toward a more humane, effective, and socially beneficial criminal justice system. Reducing recidivism not only improves the lives of former offenders but also enhances community safety, reduces judicial and correctional burdens, and promotes long-term social stability.

## References

- Ashouri, Mohammad. (2019). The Role of Imprisonment in Contemporary Criminal Policy, in: Collection of Articles on Strategies to Reduce Prison Population, Tehran: Mizan Publishing. [in Persian]
- Ebrahimi, Shahram. (2005). "Five-Year Congresses on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice: Achievements and Prospects." *Judiciary Legal Journal*, Nos. 52–53, pp. 355–374. [in Persian]
- Habib, Ahmad. (2013). *Sociology of Deviations*, 6th Edition, Tehran: SAMT Publishing. [in Persian]
- Baheri, Mohammad. (2019). *Lectures on General Criminal Law*, 3rd Edition, Majd Publications. [in Persian]
- Tajik, Mohammad Reza. (2015). *Narratives of Honor and Identity among Iranians*, Tehran: Farhang-e Gofteman Publishing. [in Persian]
- Rahiminejad, Esmail. (2011). "An Islamic Perspective on Human Dignity." *Ma'refat Journal*. [in Persian]

Sarlak, Adel. (2020). "A Review of the Role of Family, Social, and Economic Factors in Prisoners' Return to Prison in Iranian Criminal Law." First National Conference on Law, Social Sciences, Humanities, Psychology, and Counseling, Tehran. [in Persian]

Abdi, Abbas. (2005). "Imprisonment and Reoffending." Iranian Journal of Sociology, 4(2), pp. 77–91. [in Persian]

Gholami, Hossein. (2004). "Crime Prevention through Social Development." Law and Politics Research Journal, No. 11. [in Persian]

Giddens, Anthony. (2016). Sociology, Tehran: Ney Publishing. [in Persian Translation]

Rahami, Mohsen. (2003). "Transformation and Moderation of Punishments in the Criminal System of the Islamic Republic of Iran." Journal of Legal Thoughts, No. 4. [in Persian]

Habibzadeh, Mohammad Jafar & Mohaqqiq-Damad, Seyed Mostafa. (1995). "The Principle of Legality of Crime and Punishment in Iranian Law." Daneshvar Journal (Shahed University), Nos. 9–10. [in Persian]

Mohseni, Morteza. (1996). General Criminal Law, Vol. 1, Ganj-e Danesh Publishing. [in Persian]

Gholami, Hossein. (2003). Recidivism, Mizan Publishing. [in Persian]

Beigi, Jamal & Teymouri, Mehrdad. (2022). "Prisoners' Right to Education: A Preventive Strategy Against Recidivism." Legal Encyclopedias Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 16. [in Persian]

Haj Ali Akbari, Mohammad. (2022). "Ways to Remove the Impact of Imprisonment on Recidivism." Hoghooghi-e Ghanoon Yar Quarterly, Vol. 6, No. 21. [in Persian]

Solimanifar, Mahsa & Solimanifar, Farideh. (2021). "The Role of Post-Release Supervision Centers in Reducing Recidivism." 6th International Conference on Jurisprudence, Law, Advocacy, and Social Sciences, Hamedan. [in Persian]

Farhamandi, Mohammad Hassan & Keshavarz, Alireza. (2021). "Analysis of the Causes of Recidivism in the Islamic Penal Code." Fiqh, Law & Criminal Sciences Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 20. [in Persian]

Karimi, Mohammad Kazem. (2021). "The Impact of Alternative Sentences to Imprisonment on Offender Rehabilitation and Recidivism Prevention." Hoghooghi-e Ghanoon Yar Quarterly, Vol. 5, No. 19. [in Persian]

Goudarzi Boroujeni, Mohammad Reza & Kamali, Mozhgan. (2018). "The Impact of the Economic Environment on Preventing Crime and Recidivism." Scientific and Specialized Journal of Humanities and Islamic Studies in the Third Millennium, Vol. 7, Spring 2023, pp. 214–223. [in Persian]

Sohaili, Fatemeh. (2020). "Foundations and Punishment Policy of Recidivism under the 2020 Law on Reduction of Ta'zir Imprisonment." *Electronic Journal of Legal Research – Ghanoon Yar*, Vol. 3, No. 12. [in Persian]

Palmer, T. (1975). "Martinson Revisited." *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, No. 12.